

September 15, 2005

Date:

IMFR

Agenda Item No. 3E1

To:

Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez

and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From:

George M. Burgess

County Manager

Subject:

Revenue Maximization and Grant Coodination Efforts and Structure

During the June 1, 2005 Intergovernmental, Recreation and Cultural Affairs Committee workshop, the committee requested a report of positions performing grant writing and grant administration and management duties for all County departments and examples of the organizational structure employed by other jurisdictions and entities with regard to grant seeking, management, and administration. The Committee specifically requested that the report identify models currently employed by Miami-Dade County Public Schools, Miami-Dade College, and New York City. This report was prepared by the Office of Strategic Business Management (OSBM) for the June 15, 2005 committee meeting. Staff will be present to discuss these finding at the committee meeting.

Grant-related Positions

Information from 37 County departments reporting an involvement in grant-related activities is highlighted in the attached spreadsheet including: budgeted positions, filled positions, and estimated full-time equivalents (FTEs) responsible for grant writing; budgeted positions, filled positions, and estimated FTEs performing grant administration and management duties; the use of grant consultants; and comments. Departments reported a total of 131 budgeted positions and 123 filled positions that spend some percentage of their time involved in grant writing. The cumulative total percent of effort for the 123 filled positions when calculated as FTEs results in approximately 19.60 FTEs. Grant-related management and administrative support involved a total of 191 budgeted positions and 178 filled positions, and when converted based on percent of time amounted to approximately 93.37 FTEs. The use of consultants was limited. Only five of 37 departments reported using consultants in support of grant initiatives.

An analysis of the data identifies a few common themes across County departments. Generally, grant writing tasks are performed by staff, at various levels, as an adjunct to other job duties and responsibilities. The data presented in the attached spreadsheet supports this fact. Further evidence of this point is the fact that the current County Pay Plan does not include as a classification the position of "Grant Writer." County departments have yet to identify an existing full time employee that dedicates 100 percent of their time to grant writing. Only a few departments report having an internal grant coordinator or staff that performs this function, such as Fire Rescue, Police, Office of Strategic Business Management, Park and Recreation, and Seaport.

When a grant opportunity is identified, a majority of departments assemble a grant writing team, utilizing existing staff and in-house subject experts. Additional grant writing support may also be provided by the Revenue Maximization and Grants Coordination Division in OSBM. Once a grant is awarded, grant funded staff is hired or, depending on the size of the award, existing staff absorbs new grant-related administrative or management duties.

Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page 2 of 4

Five additional local entities (Administrative Office of the Courts, Alliance for Human Services, Historical Museum of Southern Florida, Miami Art Museum and the Museum of Science) also provided information in response to our request and are included in this report. They report a total of 11 grant writing positions, which amounts to approximately 2.25 FTEs, and 13 grant administration and management positions, amounting to 3.70 FTEs. In contrast to County departments, three of the five entities indicated that consultants are sometimes utilized to support grant-related activities. Based on the sample size, it is difficult to draw conclusions. However, there may be some correlation between the use of consultants and existing staffing levels of these outside organizations.

Structure

Survey results indicate that there are three basic models employed by large public entities nationally. A centralized approach is one in which all grant writers are located in one business unit and work as needed to support all other units within the organization. A decentralized structure is one in which there is no central unit or staff person responsible for coordination or oversight and individual business units compete for grants independently. The final model, and the one employed by the County, is a coordinated approach that exhibits characteristics of both the centralized and decentralized models, where grant writing duties are shared by a central division and departments as needed and appropriate.

Information presented in this section allows for comparison with the County's current grant-related organizational structure. Information was obtained during a series of brief telephone interviews with representatives of sites selected for review. Sites were included in response to the Committee's request; based on perceived effectiveness by grant professionals; or the presence of one or more characteristics comparable to the County such as general size, population, budget, and operations.

Selected local entities included Miami-Dade College, Miami-Dade County Public Schools, and the City of Miami.

<u>Miami-Dade College</u>, previously utilized a decentralized approach and now employs a centralized model located in the Office of Resource Development. The Director reports to the Executive Director for External Affairs. The office currently has six employees, including four grant writers, and hopes to expand with the addition of two more grant writers.

Miami-Dade County Public Schools uses a coordinated approach to grant writing. The Executive Director for Grants Administration reports to the Superintendent for Intergovernmental Affairs and Grants Administration. Grants Administration has 13 employees, including two grant writers, and utilizes consultants from time to time. Grant writing is largely decentralized and performed by individual departments or schools. Grants Administration pursues special grants that have not been targeted by staff, teachers, parents, and/or volunteers.

<u>City of Miami</u> grant initiatives were previously decentralized but now are centralized within a Grants Administration Department. The Director reports to the City Manager. The Department is comprised of eight employees, including four grant writers. While the Grants Administration Department relies on experts from City departments, they take on the brunt of work including grant administration after the award, with assistance from the Finance Department.

Florida Counties contacted included Broward, Hillsborough, Jacksonville, Palm Beach, and Pinellas.

<u>Broward</u> uses a decentralized approach to the grant process. Broward Sheriff's Office, Airport, Human Services, and other departments operate independently to obtain grant funding.

Þ

Hønorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page 3 of 4

<u>Hillsborough</u> employs a decentralized organizational approach. Departments are encouraged to research, develop, and write grants.

<u>Jacksonville</u> utilizes a decentralized model to seek grant funding. Grant writing is performed by department staff adjunct to their regular duties.

<u>Palm Beach</u> applies a decentralized approach to obtaining grants. On a few occasions, for special projects they have obtained outside consultants for grant writing.

<u>Pinellas</u> uses a decentralized approach to grant writing. However, one position in the City Administrator's office provides a minimal level of tracking and technical assistance to departments.

Jurisdictions outside of Florida that participated in the survey were Fairfax County, Virginia; Jefferson County, Colorado; King County, Washington; Los Angeles County, California; Maricopa County, Arizona; Milwaukee County, Wisconsin; and New York City. All of the above jurisdictions reported the use of a decentralized approach to seeking and writing grants. New York City noted that it had previously utilized a centralized approach, but now favors a decentralized model.

In FY 2002-03, Miami-Dade began to refashion a previously decentralized process and continued to move toward a coordinated approach with the creation of the Revenue Maximization and Grants Coordination Division in OSBM. Positions include a division head, four professional staff, and additional clerical support provided by OSBM. The division serves as a clearinghouse for grant research and information; coordination and collaborative initiatives; technical assistance and support; and grant training and outreach efforts to departments, municipalities, other public entities, small businesses, local colleges, universities, and community- and faith-based organizations. Many departments have had a long history of success as it relates to grants, and they continue to operate independently but are expected to maintain communication and coordination with the division.

Performance Measures

A comparison of federal and state revenue for select counties in Florida based on data from the Florida Department of Financial Services, "County Revenue and Expenditure Summary Report of FY 2003" and 2003 Florida population statistics "Florida QuickFacts," from the United States Census Bureau is provided below:

	Federal	Per	State and Other	Per
County	Grants	Capita	Government Sources	Capita
Miami-Dade	\$596,267,408	\$264.61	\$300,530,702	\$133.36
Broward	\$55,948,000	\$32.31	\$197,003,000	\$113.78
Hillsborough	\$73,922,827	\$68.86	\$144,196,084	\$134.33
Palm Beach	\$56,983,077	\$46.85	\$135,259,334	\$111.20
Pinellas	\$42,071,161	\$45.42	\$91,038,698	\$98.29

These statistics support the claim that Miami-Dade County is successful in securing federal and state grant funding. This is a claim made repeatedly by grant professionals from other Florida counties during our survey efforts. It appears that there is a commonly held belief that Miami-Dade leaves little on the table for other parts of the state.

Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page 4 of 4

Further support for the belief that the County is a leader in drawing down state and federal revenue was identified during the production of this report. A January 21, 2005 newsletter article from the International City/County Management Association references a new "Grants Performance Index" for U.S. counties with over one million in population created by eCivis, a private consulting firm. The Index released in late 2004 ranked 31 counties, with Miami-Dade County fourth in the nation in grant performance trailing only King County, WA; Contra Costa County, CA; and Hillsborough County, FL (see attached). Rankings for other counties included in this review are as follows: Palm Beach 6; Broward 13; Fairfax 18; Los Angeles 21; and Maricopa 26.

The eCivis web site indicates that the "Grants Performance Index" (GPI) is based on:

A score of the "relative size and breadth of awards" in the areas of environment, public safety, health, and community development funding.

"These individual scores combined with a per capita ranking to provide the final GPI score. Only competitive opportunities that are typically won by local governments are included in the measurement."

"GPI measures grant awards from FY1999 - FY2003."

Observations

Based on discussions with grant professionals across the state and country, it would appear that there is considerable interest in the coordinated model currently utilized by the County. The coordinated approach seeks to address limitations associated with a strictly centralized or decentralized structure such as a reliance on grant writers instead of subject experts or the production of multiple competing proposals. In terms of grant writing, our plan has been to continue to support departmental grant seeking efforts, but to also have a central pool of grant writers for use by smaller departments, first-time grant seekers, or departments juggling multiple applications simultaneously; for special grant initiatives; and to write applications in support of community initiatives and collaborations. Although there is always room for improvement, the data seems to support the fact that the County is indeed effective and successful in terms of grant performance. The findings coupled with countywide grant position counts also speak to the caliber and quality of County professionals and leaders at all levels of the organization: our elected officials, department staff, OSBM, and my management team in coming together, often quickly, to identify opportunities, develop programs and applications, and aggressively advocate at the federal and state level.

Attachment

Assistant County Manager

cmo077505

		Grants administration and writing tasks as needed		П	Extension agents may submit proposals either through County or University of Florida as a co-investigator with little administration or management authority	Grant writing coordinated through Office of Strategic Business Management	Ī			technical knowledge	Responsibilities include accounting, financial management, etc. in support of departmental grant initiatives	Only recently began to pursue grant funding			Total of 20% of department accountant assigned to grant- related functions	П	1 Position devotes approximately 30% of their time to grant-	\prod	MDHA has only 2 typical grants requiring an application and other monles are renewal funding, subsidies, and formula driven capital allocations not requiring an application		1 Position performs all grant-related duties		1	Limited consultant support	1	Limited consultant support in FY 2004-05	Grant funding limited to Community Development Block Grant, and consulting support related to implementation of grant initiatives.
	Grant		2	운	2 2	ž	2	Š	2	윈	2	S	Yes	Š		Ŷ.	2 2	2	<u>8</u>	2	Š	Š	2	Kes	2	Yes A	\$ 0
	Aginsyament Centrigge Present	2.00	00.0	17.25	0.14	0.10	0.02	0.50	0.05	0.50	7.00	0.00	6.00	5.00	0.10	0.10	000	2.00	2.00	0.25	0.60	1.25	0.08	3.80	0.10	0.30	0.75
	Stration & IV	2	0	21			1	15	2	9	6	0	9	လ	-	-	-	2	4	-	1	4	-	2	8	5	. 24
·	Grant-Administration & Management Bhirdered John Filled of Estimetes Positions (*Positions)	3	-	21	- vo	-	-	15	2	9	10	0	7	5	-		- +	2	4	-	-	4	-	2	8	٤ و	. 5
			0.00	2.00	89.0	0.30	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.40	0.00	0.02	1.00	0.55	0.10	0.0	20.00	0.50	0.03	0.25	0.15	0.50	0.30	0.00	0.10	0.30	00.0
	Grant Writing: Filled: Positions.		0	30	r o	-	1	œ	2	9	0	1	2	15	-	0	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	9	2	n 0	00
		2	1	30	. 02	-	1	ھ	2	9	0	-	2	15	-	۰,		-	-	1	1	-	2		2	٥٥	00
	County Department/Agency		Business Development	Community Action Agency	Consumer Services	Corrections and Rehabilitation	County Manager	Cultural Affairs		Environmental Resources Management	Finance	General Services Administration	Homeless Trust	Human Services	Medical Examiner	Metropolitan Planning Organization	Miami-Dade Empowerment Zone Trust	Miami-Dade Fire Rescue	Miami-Dade Housing Agency	Miami-Dade Housing Finance Authority	Miami-Dade Juvenile Assessment Center	Miami-Dade Police Department	Miami-Dade Public Library	Miami-Dade Transit Agency	Office of Community Relations	Office of Emergency Management	Office of Historic Preservation

								Includes performance reporting grant, Ryan White program, and Revenue Maximization and Grants Coordination Division, and consulting supports compliance with community planning
Office of Strategic Business Management	6	80	2.16	20	18	13.51	Yes	requirements for the Ryan White program
Park and Recreation	3	3	1.80	4	4	2.20	No	
Performing Arts Center Management Office	0	0	0.00	1	1	0.25	N _o	Performing Arts Center Trust provides grant writing support
								Grant administration and management positions include .75
Public Works	4	4	0.09	8	8	1.05	N _o	FTE from ETSD paid for by Public Works
Seaport	-	ļ	0.50	8	8	2.27	Š	Consulting support as need; none presently
Team Metro	0	0	0.00	2	2	0.35	Š	Limited to Community Development Block Grant funding
Water and Sewer	3	2	0.50	3	2	0.20	Š	
Total	131	123	19.60	191	178	93.37		

Gonnaens	Miami-Dade County serves as fiscal agent for grants			Grant consultants are utilized as needed	Grant writing outsourced	
Crant Sonstillants	٥N	No	SeX	SЭД	sə,	
anasament Esimalat Esimalat	1.25	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.70	3.70
nistration & M Æfillen Positions	4	1	0	9	2	13
Grant Admir Establications Posteore	4	1	0	9	2	13
9318 1918 1918 1918 1918 1918 1918 1918	1.25	0.25	0.00	0.75	0.00	2.25
Srant Writing Frilled E. Positions	4	1	0	မွ	0	11
Budgeled First Silvers	4	-	0	9	0	11
O CAROLINA CONTRACTOR	Administrative Office of the Courts	Alliance for Human Services	Historical Museum of Southern Fla.	Miami Art Museum	Museum of Science	Total



Grants Performance Index Scores

Counties over 1 Million in Population

Historical County Alameda County Alameda County Alameda County Alegheny	A. Kraji (Garany), Wa	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Alameda Coults As 6 s Palm Beach County FI 7 Alle gheny County PA 8 Gook County III 9 Se Louis Gounty III 10 Waynes Ounty III 10 Waynes Ounty III 11 San Beinardinor Ounty CA 12 Coyahoga County FB 14 Sacramento County CA 15 San Diego County, CA 15 San Diego County, CA 16 Hennepin County, TX 18 Fairfax County, TX 18 Fairfax County, VA 19 Clark County, VV 20 Franklin County, OH 21 Los Angeles County, CA 22 Oakland County, III 23 Dallas County, IX 24 Orange County, CA 25 Riverside County, CA 26 Maricopa County, AZ 36 San Diego County, CA 42 O 42 O 43 San Diego County, CA 44 San Diego County, CA 55 San Diego County, CA 56 San Diego County, CA 57 San Diego County, CA 58 San Diego County, CA 59 San Diego County, CA 50 San Diego County, CA 51 San Diego County, CA 52 San Diego County, CA 52 San Diego County, CA 42 San Diego County, CA 43 San Diego County, CA 44 San Diego County, CA 45 San Diego County, CA 46 Maricopa County, CA 57 San Diego County, CA 58 San Diego County, CA 59 San Diego County, CA 50 San Diego County, CA 51 San Diego County, CA 52 San Diego County, CA 53 Dallas County, CA 54 San Diego County, CA 55 San Diego County, CA 56 San Diego County, CA 57 San Diego County, CA 50 San Diego County, CA 51 San Diego County, CA 52 San Diego County, CA 53 Dallas County, CA 54 San Diego County, CA 55 San Diego County, CA 56 San Diego County, CA 57 San Diego County, CA 57 San Diego County, CA 58	ži zadia idosa doga vida	f 3 y2 (0
G. Palm Beach county FL 7. Atleuteny County (A) 8. Cook County (B) 9. St. Fours County (MO) 10. Wayne County (MI) 11. San Bernardinal Surry (CA) 12. Govahoga (County (FL) 13. Broward County (FL) 14. Sac omenic County (A) 15. San Diego County, CA 15. San Diego County, CA 15. San Diego County, CA 17. Tarrant County, MN 17. Tarrant County, W 18. Fairfax County, V 20. Franklin County, V 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, T 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland (County, CA) 23. Dallas (County, CA) 24. Orange (County, CA) 25. Riverside (County, CA) 26. Maricopa (County, CA) 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland (County, CA) 23. Dallas (County, CA) 24. Orange (County, CA) 25. Riverside (County, CA) 26. Maricopa (County, CA) 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland (County (CA) 23. Dallas (COUNTY (CA) 24. Orange (COUNTY (CA) 25. Riverside (COUNTY (CA) 26. Maricopa (COUNTY (CA) 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20.		1.40
G. Palm Beach county FL 7. Atleuteny County (A) 8. Cook County (B) 9. St. Fours County (MO) 10. Wayne County (MI) 11. San Bernardinal Surry (CA) 12. Govahoga (County (FL) 13. Broward County (FL) 14. Sac omenic County (A) 15. San Diego County, CA 15. San Diego County, CA 15. San Diego County, CA 17. Tarrant County, MN 17. Tarrant County, W 18. Fairfax County, V 20. Franklin County, V 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, T 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland (County, CA) 23. Dallas (County, CA) 24. Orange (County, CA) 25. Riverside (County, CA) 26. Maricopa (County, CA) 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland (County, CA) 23. Dallas (County, CA) 24. Orange (County, CA) 25. Riverside (County, CA) 26. Maricopa (County, CA) 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20. County (CA) 21. County (CA) 22. Oakland (County (CA) 23. Dallas (COUNTY (CA) 24. Orange (COUNTY (CA) 25. Riverside (COUNTY (CA) 26. Maricopa (COUNTY (CA) 27. County (CA) 28. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 29. County (CA) 20.	45 Mail and Addition Carlot Days The Control	
7. Alleghery County IA 8 Gook County IL 9 St. Louis County MO 10 Wayre County MI 11 San Bernardinol County CA 12 Govanogal County CB 13 Broward County EB 148 Sacramento County CA 15 San Diego County, CA 16 Hennepin County, MN 17 Tarrant County, TX 18 Fairfax County, VA 19 Clark County, NV 20 Franklin County, NV 20 Franklin County, MI 21 Los Angeles County, CA 22 Oakland County, MI 23 Dallas County TX 24 Orange County, CA 25 Riverside County, CA 26 Maricopa County, CA 27 Salta Care Care 28 Bernardino County, CA 29 Harrouthy CA 20 Harrouthy CA 20 Harrouthy CA 21 Los Angeles County CA 22 Harrouthy CA 23 Harrouthy CA 24 Harrouthy CA 25 Riverside County CA 26 Marricopa County CA 27 Harrouthy CA 28 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 20 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 22 Harrouthy CA 23 Harrouthy CA 24 Harrouthy CA 25 Harrouthy CA 26 Harrouthy CA 27 Harrouthy CA 28 Harrouthy CA 28 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 22 Harrouthy CA 23 Harrouthy CA 24 Harrouthy CA 25 Harrouthy CA 26 Harrouthy CA 27 Harrouthy CA 28 Harrouthy CA 28 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 22 Harrouthy CA 23 Harrouthy CA 24 Harrouthy CA 25 Harrouthy CA 26 Harrouthy CA 27 Harrouthy CA 28 Harrouthy CA 28 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 29 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 21 Harrouthy CA 22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8 Cook County Alianov Provided Record of Security Alianov Provided Record of Security Alianov Provided Record of Security Provided Record of S	6. Palm Béach Colómy III. Sail L	74.6
9. Louis County Mb. 10. Wayne County Mb. Lit San Berna dine County CA 12. Guyahoga County, GH 13. Broward County, EB 14. Sacramento County, CA 15. San Diego County, CA 16. Hennepin County, MN 17. Tarrant County, TX 18. Fairfax County, VA 19. Clark County, NV 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. Carlotte County, CA 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 21. San Diego County, CA 22. San Diego County, CA 23. Dallas County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 20. San Diego County, CA 20. San Di	Z-Allegmeny County RATE . — 34	
10. Waynes bunty Mt. 11. San Bernalding County CA 12. Gu valogateounty QB 13. Broward County EA 14. Sacramento County CA 15. San Diego County CA 15. San Diego County, MN 16. Hennepin County, MN 17. Tarrant County, TX 18. Fairfax County, VA 19. Clark County, VV 20. Franklin County, NV 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 20. San Diego County, CA 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, CA 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 20. San Diego County, CA 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, CA 23. San Diego County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, CA 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 20. San Diego County, CA 20. San Diego County, CA 20. San Diego County, CA 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, CA 23. San Diego County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, CA 27. San Diego County, CA 28. San Diego County, CA 29. San Diego County, CA 20. San Diego Cou		
14 San Bernarding County CA 12 Ge Valhogal County OB 13 Broward County ID 14 Sacramen to County CA 15 San Diego County, CA 16 Hennepin County, MN 17 Tarrant County, TX 18 Fairfax County, VA 19 Clark County, VV 20 Franklin County, NV 20 Franklin County, OH 21 Los Angeles County CA 22 Oakland County, MI 23 Dallas County, TX 24 Orange County, CA 25 Riverside County, CA 26 Maricopa County, AZ 36 38 38 39 30 31 31 32 33 34 35 36 35 36 37 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38		7
13. By oward County FB 1 62.9 62.9 14. Sacramento County FB 1 62.9 60.5 1 1 1 60.5 1		715
T3 Broward County E4 T4 Sacramen to County CA T5: San Diego County, CA T6: Hennepin County, MN Tarrant County, TX 18: Fairfax County, VA 19: Clark County, NV 20: Franklin County, OH 21: Los Angeles County, CA 22: Oakland County, MI 23: Dallas County, TX 24: Orange County, CA 25: Riverside County, CA 26: Maricopa County, AZ 8examounty, TX 8examounty, TX 8examounty, TX 8examounty, TX 8examounty, TX 16: Said 18: Sai		
14. Sacramento County, CA 15. San Diego County, CA 16. Hennepin County, MN 17. Tarrant County, TX 18. Fairfax County, WA 19. Clark County, NV 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Örange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. Tarrant County, TX 28. Becamounty, CA 29. Sallis County, CA 20. Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Ad		
15. San Diego County, CA 16. Hennepin County, MN 17. Tarrant County, TX 18. Fairfax County, VA 19. Clark County, NV 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. Callas County, CA 28. Sexanology, CA 29. Sexanology, CA 29. Sexanology, CA 20. Sexanology, CA 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, CA 23. Dallas County, CA 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, CA 27. Sexanology, CA 28. Sexanology, CA 29. Sexanology, CA 20. Sexanology, CA 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Sexanology, CA 23. Sexanology, CA 24. Sexanology, CA 24. Sexanology, CA 25. Sexanology, CA 26. Maricopa County, CA 27. Sexanology, CA 28. Sexanology, CA 29. Sexanology, CA 29. Sexanology, CA 20. Sexa		
T6. Hennepin Courity, MN 17. Tarrant County, TX 18. Fairfax County, VA 19. Clark County, NV 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 41.1 Rexample County, TX 48.6 42.9 41.1		And the second s
17. Tarrant County, TX 58.3 18. Fairfax County, WA 57.6 19: Clark County, NV 55.9 20. Franklin County, QH 54.3 21. Los Angeles County, CA 52.1 22. Oakland County, MI 48.6 23. Dallas County, TX 43.8 24. Orange County, CA 42.9 25. Riverside County, CA 42.0 26. Maricopa County, AZ 41.1 Bexanding IX 36	- 1967年 1777 - 1 - 1 1 1987年 1777年	
18. Fairfax County, VA 19. Clark County, NV 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. Callas County, CA 28. County, CA 29. Sallis County, CA 30. Sallis County, CA 31. Sallis County, CA 32. Sallis County, CA 33. Sallis County, CA 34. Sallis County, CA 35. Sallis County, CA 36. Sallis County, CA 37. Sallis County, CA 38. Sallis Count	- プレアント (1) ** (2.1.)	
19. Clark County, NV 20. Franklin County, OH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 38. ALIAN AZ 39. Ballis County, CA 39. Ballis County,		C MERITA
20. Franklin County, QH 21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 37. Tai Garage County, CA 38. Sexandous, TX 39. Salin County, TX 30. Sexandous,		
21. Los Angeles County, CA 22. Oakland County, MI 23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riversida County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. Carra Elara County, CA 28. Bexa County, TX 29. Ballis County, TX 20. Ball	の表現を表現する。これは「And And And And And And And And And And	
22. Oakland County, MI 48.6 23. Dallas County, TX 43.8 24. Orange County, CA 42.9 25. Riverside County, CA 42.0 26. Maricopa County, AZ 41,1 37. Talla, Sexan orange IX		
23. Dallas County, TX 24. Orange County, CA 25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. Canta Elas County, CA 28. Sexas County, TX 29. Salis County		4 49 EXE
24. Orange County; CA 42.9 25. Riverside County; CA 42.0 26. Maricopa County; AZ 41.1 Sexas orange County; AZ 55 29. Said County; AZ 3.6		
25. Riverside County, CA 26. Maricopa County, AZ 27. Canta Classic County, CA 28. State County, CA 29. State Count	THE RESERVE AND A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	54 - 4 - 3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5
26: Maricopa County AZ 41.1 7: Canta Golden County (A) 8: Staker County (A) 9: Staker County (A)		
ZF Santarijona Colonity (A) -71 F - ZE Islavia Kolonity (F) ZE Islavia Colonity (F)	그 : 1일 경우 그 남은 14명 학교회 (1985년) 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	10 TO THE RESERVE TO
AS ISLANCE CENTERLY IN S. 65. AS ISBN CONTRACT		
24. Africa Cabingala		
	- PROPERTY	3(0
	\$(0. \$\frac{1}{2}\frac	
sis Markau (amang My) ar		5/3,5 /2

About eCivis Improving Grants Performance

eCivis is the largest provider of grant information and services to local governments. eCivis' products and services, including the industry-standard grant research system, *Grants Locator*, are designed to meet every need in the grant process. Last year alone, eCivis helped clients win over \$100 million in additional grant awards. To learn more about eCivis or the Grants Performance Index, please visit www.ecivis.com/gpi.html or contact Graham Forman at (626) 578-6623.